

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER



BOYLE
FREDRICKSON
NEWHOLM
STEINS & GRATZ, PC

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

FEB 09 2007

SUITE 1030
250 EAST WISCONSIN AVENUE
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202
PHONE: 414.225.9755 FAX: 414.225.5753
E-MAIL: MAJL@BOYLEFRED.COM

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO:	EILEEN D. LILLIS, TECH CTR 3600	FROM:	TIMOTHY E. NEWHOLM
COMPANY:	USPTO	DATE:	FEBRUARY 9, 2007
FAX NO.:	571-273-8300	PAGES:	4
RE:	USSN 10/080,982	OPERATOR:	JC
<input type="checkbox"/> Original Will Follow <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Original Will Not Follow <input type="checkbox"/> Enclosures Will Follow With Original			
<input type="checkbox"/> Urgent <input type="checkbox"/> For Review <input type="checkbox"/> Please Comment <input type="checkbox"/> Please Reply <input type="checkbox"/> Please Recycle			

{00020247.DOC} THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSONAL AND CONFIDENTIAL USE OF THE DESIGNATED RECIPIENTS NAMED ABOVE. This message may be an attorney-client communication, and as such is privileged and confidential. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you have received this message in error. Distribution or copying of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this

FEB 09 2007

Certificate Of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date indicated below.


Jodi Calderon

2-9-07
Date

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor: Roger L. Johnston

Art Unit: 3652

Serial No. 10/080,982

Examiner: Paul T. Chin

Filed: February 22, 2002

Confirmation No.: 9956

For: *Triangulated Mobile Gantry Crane*

Attorney Docket: 1266.015

Customer No.: 23598


STATUS INQUIRY

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Applicant respectfully requests the status of the above-captioned patent application since more than *three (3) months* have passed since the mailing of an Interview Summary, dated November 1, 2006 (copy enclosed), wherein Examiner Lillis indicated that a new Office Action will be completed by **October 27, 2006**.

Respectfully submitted,



Timothy E. Newholm
Registration No. 34400

Dated: February 9, 2007

USPTO Customer No. 23,598
Boyle, Fredrickson, Newholm, Stein & Gratz, S.C.
250 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 1030
Milwaukee, WI 53202
Telephone: (414) 225-9755
Email: docketing@boylefred.com

cc: Eileen D. Lillis (*via facsimile*)
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3600

100121959.DOX */1



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,982	02/22/2002	Roger L. Johnston	1266.015	9954

23598 7590 11/01/2006

BOYLE FREDRICKSON NEWHOLM STEIN & GRATZ, S.C.
250 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE
SUITE 1030
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

EXAMINER

CHIN, PAUL T

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3652

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

FEB 09 2007

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/080,982		JOHNSTON, ROGER L.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Eileen D. Lillis		3652	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Eileen D. Lillis. (3) _____
 (2) Tim Newholm. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 October 2006.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Informed applicant that the after final amendment filed July 19 2006 will be entered and a new office action will be done by October 27, 2006.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


EILEEN D. LILLIS
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required